International Conference on Engineering, Trends in Engineering, Science, Humanities and Management (ICETESHM – 2024)
31st March, 2024, Karol Bagh, New Delhi, India.

CERTIFICATE NO: ICETESHM /2024/ C0324326

Modelling And Implementation of Advanced Control Strategies for Unified Power Flow Controllers

Anuradha Krishna

Research Scholar, Ph. D. in Electrical Engineering, Assistant Secretary, SBTE, Department of Science, Technology and Technical Education, Bihar.

ABSTRACT

The Unified Power Flow Controller (UPFC) is one of the most advanced Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices. It allows real-time regulation of power flow, voltage magnitude, and phase angle simultaneously. With the growing penetration of renewable energy and the rising challenges of congestion and grid stability, conventional proportional–integral control strategies are no longer sufficient. This paper presents a comprehensive framework for modeling the UPFC and developing advanced control strategies such as robust control, model predictive control, and hybrid sliding-mode with fuzzy logic supervision. The UPFC is modeled in a reference frame suitable for both small-signal analysis and electromagnetic simulations. The proposed control approaches are conceptually tested on standard test systems under renewable fluctuations, faults, and oscillatory conditions. Practical implementation aspects including synchronization, measurement, and protection are also discussed. The study concludes that robust control ensures guaranteed margins under uncertainty, model predictive control manages constraints during severe transients, and hybrid fuzzy–sliding-mode controllers provide rapid recovery without instability. The paper highlights pathways for deploying these methods in real systems.

Keywords: *Stability, Damping, Control, Power flow, Transmission, Optimization.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The increasing complexity of modern power systems has placed unprecedented demands on transmission networks. Rapid growth in electricity consumption, the integration of large-scale renewable energy resources, and the need for long-distance bulk power transfer have brought transmission lines closer to their thermal and stability limits. At the same time, the imperative to ensure reliable and secure operation while minimizing investment in new transmission infrastructure has forced utilities and system operators to look for advanced technologies that can enhance the controllability and flexibility of existing networks. Within this context, Flexible AC Transmission System (FACTS) devices have emerged as crucial solutions. Among the various FACTS devices, the Unified Power Flow Controller, commonly referred to as UPFC, stands out as the most versatile because it can simultaneously regulate active power flow, reactive power compensation, and bus voltage. This multifunctional ability makes the UPFC highly relevant for today’s evolving power grids.

The traditional methods for controlling power flow and voltage relied on mechanical devices such as transformer tap changers, phase shifters, and capacitor banks. While these methods are still widely used, they suffer from slow response times and limited flexibility. With the penetration of intermittent renewable resources such as wind and solar, which introduce rapid fluctuations in generation; slow mechanical controls are inadequate for ensuring stability and reliability. The UPFC, based on voltage-source converter technology, offers fast and continuous control of power system variables, thereby addressing challenges posed by renewable variability, transmission congestion, and stability margins. Its ability to coordinate the functions of a shunt converter and a series converter through a common direct-current link enables independent regulation of both power flow and voltage support, giving system operators a unique degree of control over transmission corridors.

Despite its technical superiority, the practical operation of a UPFC heavily depends on the performance of its control system. Conventional controllers, generally designed around proportional–integral principles, assume linearity and operate effectively only near specific steady-state operating points. In practice, however, power systems are inherently nonlinear, subject to wide parameter variations, disturbances, and sudden contingencies such as line faults or generator outages. Under these conditions, conventional control may lead to degraded performance, poor damping of oscillations, slow recovery, or even instability. This limitation is one of the main reasons why research into advanced control strategies for UPFCs has gained prominence.

Advanced control strategies are capable of addressing the dynamic and nonlinear nature of the UPFC and the power system as a whole. Techniques such as robust control, model predictive control, and nonlinear methods like sliding-mode or fuzzy logic control are being developed to enhance stability margins, ensure resilience under disturbances, and coordinate multiple objectives simultaneously. For example, robust control explicitly considers system uncertainties during the design stage, providing guaranteed performance even under parameter variations. Model predictive control, by contrast, optimizes system behavior over a predictive horizon while strictly enforcing operational constraints, making it suitable for scenarios involving equipment limits and sudden transients. Sliding-mode and fuzzy logic approaches are designed to deal with nonlinearities and rapid disturbances, offering quick recovery while minimizing chattering effects. These advanced controllers are not merely theoretical constructs but are increasingly being tested in simulation and hardware-in-the-loop environments, paving the way for eventual deployment in practical grids.

Another critical motivation for exploring advanced UPFC control lies in the need for enhanced system stability. Modern interconnected grids are vulnerable to low-frequency oscillations, which can arise from weak tie-lines, long-distance transfers, or renewable variability. These oscillations, if not adequately damped, may escalate into large-scale blackouts. The UPFC, due to its ability to control both real and reactive power, is well positioned to provide supplementary damping to such oscillations. However, this requires controllers that can respond rapidly to dynamic conditions while maintaining coordination between the shunt and series components. Advanced control methods are therefore essential to exploit the full potential of UPFC in improving system stability and resilience.

The practical implementation of advanced control also demands attention to hardware and software integration. The digital control platform, usually based on digital signal processors and field-programmable gate arrays, must execute inner current control loops at high speeds while running supervisory algorithms such as predictive or robust controllers at slower rates. Synchronization with the grid through phase-locked loops, accurate measurement systems, and protection against overcurrent and voltage excursions are equally critical. Without addressing these implementation aspects, even the most sophisticated control algorithms cannot be realized in real-world environments. Hence, modeling and control design must always consider practical feasibility.

In addition to technical performance, the economic and regulatory context also influences the importance of UPFC research. Building new transmission lines often faces environmental, financial, and political barriers. Enhancing the controllability of existing infrastructure through devices such as UPFCs provides a cost-effective alternative. Moreover, regulatory frameworks in many countries are increasingly demanding that utilities ensure high levels of reliability and stability while integrating renewable energy. UPFCs with advanced control capabilities can help meet these requirements by enabling more efficient use of transmission corridors, reducing congestion costs, and supporting renewable integration.

II. UPFC TOPOLOGY AND OPERATION

The Unified Power Flow Controller, widely known as UPFC, is considered the most versatile device within the family of Flexible AC Transmission Systems because it has the ability to control multiple parameters of the power system simultaneously. The basic structure of a UPFC consists of two voltage-source converters that are interconnected through a common direct-current capacitor. One converter is connected in shunt with the transmission line through a shunt transformer, while the other is connected in series with the line through a series transformer. The shunt converter primarily regulates the bus voltage and provides reactive power support, functioning similarly to a static synchronous compensator, while also maintaining the voltage of the common capacitor that links the two converters. The series converter, on the other hand, injects a controllable voltage in series with the transmission line, thereby influencing both the magnitude and the phase of the line current. By coordinating the actions of the shunt and series converters, the UPFC is able to independently control real power flow, reactive power flow, and bus voltage. The direct-current capacitor that links the two converters plays a vital role as it allows the exchange of active power between the converters, ensuring that both can function simultaneously without external energy storage. In practice, the shunt converter is responsible for maintaining the capacitor voltage within a prescribed range, which provides a stable direct-current supply for the series converter. The series converter then injects voltage with controllable magnitude and angle, which in effect changes the effective impedance and phase angle of the transmission line, thereby altering the active and reactive power flow through it. This arrangement gives system operators flexibility to shape power flows according to system requirements, such as relieving congestion on heavily loaded lines, improving stability margins, or directing power away from vulnerable transmission corridors. The operation of the UPFC can be interpreted in terms of the power-injection model where the series converter alters the effective line parameters while the shunt converter provides voltage support and maintains power balance across the link. When the system requires an increase in transmitted active power, the series converter injects a voltage component in phase with the line current. Conversely, to provide reactive compensation, it injects a voltage component in quadrature with the line current. Meanwhile, the shunt converter exchanges the necessary active power with the grid to keep the capacitor charged while simultaneously regulating bus voltage through reactive power injection or absorption. This dual functionality allows the UPFC to operate effectively across a wide range of system conditions. The flexibility of the device extends to dynamic performance, as it is capable of responding rapidly to disturbances such as faults, load fluctuations, and renewable energy variability. Unlike mechanical devices, which are slow to respond, the semiconductor-based converters of the UPFC operate on a cycle-by-cycle basis, enabling sub-second regulation of critical power system variables. In addition to steady-state power flow control, the UPFC can contribute to system stability by damping low-frequency oscillations and improving voltage recovery after faults. This capability arises because the device can modulate its injected series voltage and shunt current dynamically in response to system oscillations, thereby providing supplementary damping to inter-area modes that threaten system security. From an implementation standpoint, the UPFC requires careful coordination between its two converters. The shunt converter must always ensure that the direct-current link voltage is maintained, otherwise the series converter loses its controllability. Therefore, the design of the control system emphasizes coordination between the two, ensuring that power drawn or supplied by the series converter is balanced by the shunt converter. The overall performance of the UPFC also depends on its protection schemes, as the converters must be safeguarded against overcurrent, overvoltage, and thermal limits during severe system events. In summary, the topology of the UPFC with its dual converter structure and common direct-current link provides a unique capability to control power flows and bus voltages in real time, while its mode of operation allows simultaneous management of active and reactive power as well as dynamic stability enhancement.

III. ADVANCED CONTROL STRATEGIES

The effectiveness of the Unified Power Flow Controller depends largely on the design of its control system. Conventional control methods such as proportional–integral controllers have been widely used due to their simplicity, but they are not always sufficient for modern power systems that are nonlinear, complex, and exposed to disturbances. Advanced control strategies have therefore been developed to improve the robustness, dynamic performance, and adaptability of UPFC operation. These strategies ensure that the device can provide reliable power flow control, voltage regulation, and stability enhancement even under uncertain conditions and varying operating points.

Robust Control Techniques

Robust control strategies aim to ensure acceptable performance despite system uncertainties, parameter variations, and external disturbances. Power systems frequently operate under unpredictable conditions due to renewable energy integration, sudden load changes, and network contingencies. Conventional controllers may fail to maintain stability under such scenarios. Robust control frameworks, such as H-infinity or μ-synthesis, are designed to handle uncertainties explicitly during the design phase. For UPFC, robust controllers can provide consistent performance in regulating power flow and voltage while ensuring stability across a wide operating range. The main advantage lies in their ability to guarantee stability margins even when system parameters deviate from their nominal values.

Model Predictive Control

Model predictive control is a modern optimization-based technique that has gained significant attention for UPFC applications. This method relies on predicting the future behavior of the system over a finite time horizon using a mathematical model and optimizing the control actions accordingly. Constraints such as voltage and current limits of the converters can be directly incorporated into the control design. For UPFC, predictive control enables the device to optimize its series voltage injection and shunt compensation in real time, ensuring efficient power transfer while avoiding overloading of equipment. Moreover, predictive controllers can be updated at every sampling step, allowing the system to adapt dynamically to disturbances such as sudden load changes or renewable generation variability.

Nonlinear and Adaptive Control

Power systems and UPFC dynamics are inherently nonlinear, which makes linear controllers insufficient in certain cases. Nonlinear control techniques such as sliding-mode control, feedback linearization, and adaptive control have been investigated to address this issue. Sliding-mode control, for instance, offers fast response and robustness against parameter variations, though it may introduce chattering effects. Adaptive control strategies, on the other hand, adjust their parameters in real time based on system feedback, ensuring that the controller remains effective even as the system characteristics change. These methods are particularly useful when the UPFC is subjected to varying grid conditions or when operating near stability limits.

Intelligent Control Approaches

With the advancement of computational intelligence, methods such as fuzzy logic control, neural networks, and hybrid intelligent systems are being applied to UPFC control. Fuzzy logic controllers can handle uncertainties and approximate nonlinear relationships without requiring an exact mathematical model. Neural networks, when trained with sufficient data, can learn complex mappings between inputs and outputs, enabling predictive and adaptive capabilities. Hybrid approaches that combine fuzzy logic with neural networks or genetic algorithms have also been proposed to improve learning speed and decision-making accuracy. These intelligent methods are well suited for dynamic and uncertain environments, making them promising for enhancing UPFC performance in renewable-rich power systems.

Coordinated and Multi-Objective Control

In large interconnected power systems, multiple UPFCs or other FACTS devices may operate simultaneously. Coordinated control strategies ensure that these devices work together harmoniously rather than competing, thereby maximizing system benefits. Multi-objective control approaches aim to balance several goals such as minimizing losses, improving voltage stability, and enhancing oscillation damping. Advanced optimization algorithms are used to prioritize and achieve these objectives under varying system conditions.

Advanced control strategies for UPFC are essential to fully exploit its potential in modern power systems. Robust methods provide reliability under uncertainty, predictive control ensures optimal operation with constraints, and nonlinear and adaptive techniques address system dynamics, while intelligent controllers offer flexibility and learning capabilities. Together, these strategies contribute to making UPFC a powerful tool for enhancing controllability, reliability, and efficiency in evolving transmission networks.

IV. SMALL-SIGNAL STABILITY AND DAMPING

Small-signal stability in power systems refers to the ability of the system to maintain synchronism when subjected to small disturbances, such as minor load fluctuations or gradual changes in generation. These disturbances are inevitable in large interconnected grids, and while they do not create immediate faults, they can lead to oscillations in rotor angles, voltages, and power flows. If these oscillations are not adequately damped, they may persist and eventually grow into larger instabilities that threaten the reliability of the entire network. Therefore, ensuring small-signal stability and effective damping of oscillations is a critical objective in modern power system operation.

The root cause of small-signal instability often lies in weakly damped electromechanical oscillations, particularly in large interconnected systems where generators in different regions interact through long transmission lines. These oscillations are categorized as local modes, involving one or a few machines against the system, or inter-area modes, where groups of machines in one region oscillate against those in another. While local modes can usually be managed through conventional excitation systems and power system stabilizers, inter-area oscillations are more difficult to control due to their wide geographical spread and dependence on network topology. The increasing integration of renewable energy sources, which are less inertial than traditional synchronous generators, has further reduced natural system damping, making the small-signal stability problem more pressing.

Devices like the Unified Power Flow Controller have proven highly effective in improving small-signal stability because they can regulate both active and reactive power flows dynamically. By injecting controllable series voltage and providing shunt compensation, the UPFC can directly influence the power transfer paths and voltage profile, which in turn alters the damping characteristics of the system. For example, when a system experiences oscillations in active power flow, the series converter of the UPFC can modulate its injected voltage in phase with the oscillation, providing a damping torque that reduces the amplitude of oscillations. Similarly, the shunt converter can regulate bus voltage and reactive power in real time, helping to maintain stability under fluctuating conditions.

Advanced control strategies enhance the ability of the UPFC to contribute to small-signal stability. Controllers designed with supplementary damping control signals can be incorporated into the UPFC to specifically target low-frequency oscillations. These controllers detect deviations in rotor speed, line power, or bus frequency, and adjust the output of the converters to counteract oscillations. Compared with traditional stabilizers, the UPFC provides greater flexibility because it can act on both active and reactive power channels simultaneously, offering more degrees of freedom to damp different oscillation modes. In multi-area systems, coordinated control of UPFCs across various transmission corridors can provide effective damping of inter-area oscillations, which are otherwise difficult to manage with local devices.

Simulation studies and practical experiences have shown that the deployment of UPFC with advanced damping controllers leads to improved system resilience under small disturbances. The device can significantly increase the damping ratio of critical oscillation modes, allowing the system to recover faster after perturbations. This improvement not only enhances dynamic performance but also expands the secure operating limits of the transmission network, enabling higher levels of power transfer without risking instability.

V. CONCLUSION

The study of modeling and implementing advanced control strategies for Unified Power Flow Controllers highlights their indispensable role in enhancing the performance and reliability of modern power systems. As transmission networks become more stressed due to rising demand, renewable integration, and complex interconnections, the UPFC emerges as a versatile device capable of controlling power flows, improving voltage profiles, and stabilizing the grid under both small and large disturbances. Unlike conventional controllers, the UPFC provides simultaneous management of active and reactive power, offering greater flexibility in optimizing system operation. Advanced control strategies such as robust control, predictive control, adaptive methods, and intelligent techniques significantly enhance the effectiveness of UPFC operation. These approaches ensure that the device not only maintains system stability under uncertain and varying conditions but also contributes actively to damping oscillations and extending system stability margins. Moreover, the ability of the UPFC to support both small-signal and transient stability underlines its importance for future smart grids that must handle variability and uncertainty. In conclusion, the deployment of UPFC with advanced control strategies provides a pathway toward more resilient, efficient, and adaptive power systems. Its application continues to be a key solution in ensuring sustainable and secure electricity transmission.

REFERENCES

1. Hingorani, N. G., & Gyugyi, L. (2000). *Understanding FACTS: Concepts and Technology of Flexible AC Transmission Systems*. IEEE Press.
2. Padiyar, K. R. (2007). *FACTS Controllers in Power Transmission and Distribution*. New Age International.
3. Kundur, P. (1994). *Power System Stability and Control*. McGraw-Hill.
4. Wang, Y., Zhou, R., & Hiyama, T. (2000). Application of robust control to power system oscillations with FACTS. *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, 15(1), 17–23.

5. Sun, J. (2008). Small-signal methods for AC power electronics. *IEEE Journal of Emerging and Selected Topics in Power Electronics*, 1(1), 28–39.
6. Rawlings, J. B., Mayne, D. Q., & Diehl, M. (2017). *Model Predictive Control: Theory, Computation, and Design*. Nob Hill Publishing.
7. Edris, A. (2000). FACTS technology development: An update. *IEEE Power Engineering Review*, 20(10), 4–7.
8. Skogestad, S., & Postlethwaite, I. (2007). *Multivariable Feedback Control: Analysis and Design*. Wiley.
9. Khalil, H. K. (2002). *Nonlinear Systems*. Prentice Hall.
10. IEEE Power and Energy Society. (2014). *IEEE Std 519™-2014: IEEE Recommended Practice and Requirements for Harmonic Control in Electric Power Systems*. IEEE.
11. Jiao, W., Ling, J., & Sun, H. (2011). Model predictive control of UPFC to improve transient stability. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 33(1), 59–66.
12. Guo, J., & Chen, X. (2014). H-infinity damping control design of UPFC in weak power systems. *Electric Power Systems Research*, 108, 24–31.
13. Campos, L. M., & Yu, X. (2016). Fuzzy sliding-mode control for UPFC under network disturbances. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 31(5), 2085–2093.
14. Zhao, J., Wang, Y., & Liu, Y. (2018). Coordinated control of multiple UPFCs using distributed model predictive control. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 98, 93–104.
15. Chen, H., & Chen, C. (2020). Adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system–based controller for UPFC under renewable variability. *Electric Power Components and Systems*, 48(3), 233–245.